# Should We Use Human Embryonic Stem Cells Sept2013

by Benny Phang

Submission date: 28-May-2022 10:06AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1845725352

**File name:** Should\_We\_Use\_Human\_Embryonic\_Stem\_Cells\_Sept2013.docx (35.46K)

Word count: 2561

Character count: 13192

### Should We Use Human Embryonic Stem Cells to Repair Our Damaged Bodies?

Biological Facts and Ethical Considerations

Stem cell technology has developed rapidly. Among the developments occurred, several researches using human embryos have appeared. Spare embryos from in vitro fertilization clinics attract some scientists to use them as living biological materials for research. Prospects and promises of healing have been announced in mass media. It on the other side, the defenders of the human embryo raise critical questions opposing the use the source of stem cells. This short paper describes biological facts on the derivation and usage of the human embryonic stem cells for therapy and some ethical considerations for the destruction of the early human embryos used in the research.

#### **Biological facts**

The term "stem cell" is a general explanation for "any cell that has the ability to divide, generating two progeny (or 'daughter cells'), one of which is destined to become something new and one of which replaces the original stem cell." The term "stem" itself means origin, source or a central part of something from which other parts can develop or grow. Hence, in this sense, stem cells identify the cells as the source or origin of other, more specialized cells.

In discussing stem cells we need to know the sources. Geneticist Ricki Lewis explains that, "Many, if not all, of the organs in an adult human body harbor stem or progenitor cells that can begin to divide when injury or illness occurs and new cells are needed to replace damaged ones." In stem cell research, scientists derive stem cells from different sources, such as: 2-16 cells stage embryo, blastocyst (inner cell mass), fetus (aborted fetus), umbilical cord (the blood from the umbilical cord of a newborn), placenta (from placenta and amniotic fluid of a newborn), adult stem cells (bone marrow, fat, etc.). These stem cells have different characteristics, namely, totipotent, pluripotent, and multipotent. Totipotent cells are tells that can be a new individual, as believed they source from 1 to 3 day early embryo. Pluripotent cells are cells that can develop into many (over 200) cell types, they source from blastocyst.

The use of stem cells has been known since 1963 using bone marrow. "Using stem cells to heal is one type of 'regenerative medicine,' which replaces damaged tissues with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Maureen L. Condic, "The Basics about Stem Cells," *First Things* 119 (January 2002): 31. See also Ricki Lewis, *Human Genetics: Concepts and Applications*, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. (Boston: McGraw Hill International Edition, 2007), 39. She says that the reason that the cells can stem is because bodies grow and heal, for this thanks to cells that retain the ability to divide, generating both new cells like themselves and cells that go on to specialize. A stem cell divides by mitosis to yield either two daughter cells that are stem cells like itself, or one that is a stem cell and one that is a partially specialized progenitor cell.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lewis. Human Genetics. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. Genetic Science Learning Center, "What are Some Different Types of Stem Cells," *Learn Genetics*, sourced from the internet: <a href="http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/tech/stemcells/sctypes/">http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/tech/stemcells/sctypes/</a>, access date November 25, 2009.

materials that include cells that can divide."<sup>4</sup> Howeve 20 stem cell research attracted public attention when in 1998 James Thompson first derived human embryonic stem cells. Human embryonic stem cells (hereafter HES cells) are cells derived from an embryo that have the ability to continuously divide and differentiate into various other kinds of cells/tissues. They could be derived from the early embryos or the blastocysts. The derivation of these cells entails the destruction of the early human embryos. In the case of the 2 to 16 cell-stage, the embryos are destroyed so that the cells inside could be retrieved. The same procedure applied to the blastocysts, but in this case, it is only the inner cell mass (ICM) of the blastocyst that derived. Both derivation methods entail the destruction of the human embryos. These cells are then cultured in petri dishes with specific solutions to tell them to differentiate into intended cell types.

HES cells become *prima donna*, because of their plasticity, or in the more technical term, they are pluripotent, able to be many cell types. The use and success of these stem cells are not much known, and there are formidable challenges in using them for regenerative medicine. Those challanges are the patient's immune system, tumor formation, and the problem of differentiation. To this M.L. Condic explains,

First, there was the concern that the cells and their derived tissues would be rejected by the patient's immune system, requiring the patient to undergo lifelong immune suppression. The three proposed solutions to this incompatibility problem (generating large banks of stem cell lines, cloning human embryos to provide a source of cells that perfectly match the patient, or genetically engineering stem cells to reduce immune rejections) were either socially, scientifically, or morally problematic (or all three). Second, there was the serious problem that embryonic stem cells form tumors when transplanted to adult tissues, and the tumorogenic capability of these cells is difficult if not impossible, to control. Finally, there was the disturbing fact that science had thus far provided essentially no convincing evidence that embryonic stem cells could be reliably differentiated into normal adult cell types, as well as the disturbing possibility that overcoming this barrier would prove a difficult scientific endeavor.<sup>6</sup>

Another way of gaining the HES cells is by performing somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT). This method is developed to avoid the problem of the immune system discussed above. The method of harvesting HES cells involves: first the human ova must be emptied from their nuclei and then the nuclei from adult cells of a person/patient are introduced into those ova. Then these ova are chemically activated so that the cells inside divide, growing as embryos. Then, the embryos are destroyed to derive the ICM cells and culture them to form HES cells.<sup>7</sup> As a matter of fact, this method is a *therapeutic cloning* which involves human reproductive cloning. It involves creating human embryo to destroy them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Lewis, *Human Genetics*, 39. See also Thomas B. Okarma, "Human Embryonic Stem Cells: A Primer on the Technology and Its Medical Applications," in *The Human Embryonic Stem Cells Debate: Science, Ethics, and Public Policy*, eds. Suzanne Holland, Karen Lebacqz, and Laurie Zoloth, (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2002), 3-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See James A. Thompson, "Human Embryonic Stem Cells," in *The Human Embryonic Stem Cells Debate: Science, Ethics, and Public Policy*, eds. Suzanne Holland, Karen Lebacqz, and Laurie Zoloth, (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2002), 15-26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Maureen L. Condic, "What We Know about Embryonic Stem Cells," First Things 169 (January 2007): 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Lewis, *Human Genetics*, 41. See Condic, "Embry to Stem Cells," 27. She aptly observes that no clones are normal individuals, they are genetically abnormal. "The fact that most cloned embryos die at early

Above all this research, the debates are still going on regarding whether the HES cells will be less effective than adult stem cells to cure disease. It should be noted that "there is no evidence that tissues derived from embryonic stem cells have produced a true cure of any human disease, even in animal model of human diseases."

On another part, the use of adult/somatic stem cells has shown significant benefit and development. This kind of stem cell can be used and taken from an individual without any harm involved. There are no embryos destroyed either. One of the rich sources of stem cells is bone marrow. Lewis observes that stem cells derived from bone marrow are hematopoietic (blood forming) stem cells. They can form "not only blood cells, but also nerve, muscle, liver, and blood vessel lining cells, under certain conditions." Lewis reposts, "The heart transplant study inspired experimental treatment for a 16 year old who was shot in the chest with a nail gun. Physicians gave him a drug to coax his bone marrow to produce stem cells that could travel to the heart. The young man improved and did not require the transplant. Apparently the stem cells induced blood vessel growth in and around the heart, rather than replacing heart muscle." Lewis notes the good prospect of adult stem cells for the advancement of regenerative medicine for breast cancer, heart problems, spinal cord injuries, Parkinson disease, and multiple sclerosis; also for minor conditions such as baldness, acne, or hair removal.

#### **Ethical considerations**

The Virtue of Honesty

As usually happens, the market exaggerates the attractiveness of their business with pop-science. It creates the hype for HES cells as effective regenerative medicine. This hype is too high for reality. Condic critically asserts that the most brilliant biologists in history worked for hundred years to understand the mystery of the embryonic development, but scientists in this field are hubristic and are basically confident that they can solve the serious scientific problems involving the HES cells to create effective regenerative medicine in the near future. I think the market should not employ pseudo-science, and that the scientists involved should exercise the virtue of honesty. As for us, we should not easily believe in pseudo-science without being critical.

Consequently, scientists need to give the public honest and precise information about their researches. We also need to educate the public, especially young people who

stages of development is entirely consistent with the conclusion that somatic cell nuclear transfer does not generate normal embryos, even in the rare cases where clones survive to birth. Thus, the optimistic that 'therapeutic cloning' would fix the immune problem facing potential embryonic stem cell-based therapies for humans seams this far entirely unsupported by the scientific evidence."

<sup>8</sup> Leon R. Kaas, *Life, Liberty, and Defense of Dignity: Challenge for Bioethics* (San Francisco: Encounter Books, 2002), 113.

<sup>11</sup> Cf. Ibid., 42-3. A. Vats and his colleagues observe that adult stem (13s) proven therapeutical effects and have greater plasticity that it was thought before, for details see A. Vats, R.C. Bielby, N.S. Tolley, R. Nerem, and J.M. Polak, "Stem Cells," *The Lancet* 9485, vol. 366 (August 2005): 594-95.

12 Condic, "Embryonic Stem Cells," 29. She even critically notes that "in June 2004, Ron McKay at the National Institute of Health acknowledged in a Washington Post interview that scientists have not been quick to correct exaggerated claims of the medical potential of embryonic stem cells, yet McKay justified this dishonesty by stating: 'To start with, people need a fairy tale. May be that's unfair, but they need a story line that's relatively simple to understand."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Lewis, *Human Genetics*, 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ibid., 42.

are accustomed to the search engines on the internet, to do more serious studies, to distinguish between commercial sites based on pop-science and the scientific ones in order that they are not just poorly informed by pseudo-scientific websites.<sup>13</sup>

18

#### The Moral Status of the Human Embryo

Good and balanced ethics do not oppose all types of stem cell research, but they only reject HES cells research. It is clear that HES cells research always entails the destruction of the embryos. The obtaining of stem cells from a living human embryo causes the death of the embryo and is consequently gravely illicit. It is this method that we should oppose, 14 but to the methods that do not entail the destruction of the embryonic humans, we could give ethical support.

Biologically speaking, the embryo is not just a cluster of cells or a fertilized egg. The embryo is a human individual, thus a person. Destroying the human individual simply means killing, a deliberate killing. The human individual has an inviolable right to life.

To discern the personhood of the human embryo we need to employ biology more carefully and thoroughly. A person is simply a member of our species. Let us now take a moment to pay very careful attention to the fertilization. It is a complex process divided in three stages: acrosome reaction, fusion of gametes, and fusion of pronuclei. Fertilization starts with the fusion of gametes, that is, when a sperm enters the ovum through acrosome reaction. This moment is cell to organism transition. 15 These gametes only respectively contain 23 chromosomes, in order to be able to form a new human individual with the new chromosomal combination by the fusion of pronuclei. 16 This fusion marks "an irreversible qualitative leap" and constitutes "a new system that works as a unity." This unique and new living system is called zygote, a new cell that starts to operate as a unique system or a living being ontologically one. He is a new individual with new genetic identity, which is not identical with that either of the parents. If left alone, this self-driven process of molecular interactions will continue for nine months and beyond, transforming the living system called embryo into a baby. "Whereas the living system before fertilization only had a lifespan of twenty-four hours, the new living system after fertilization now has a span of seventy years or eighty for those who are strong." 18

The early human entry is, philosophically speaking, a person. He is generated by human parents, is able to assimilate nourishment from his environment, develop himself into his mature form, reproduce himself, and most of all, maintain the homeostasis upon which all of these other functions depend. The embryo, therefore, is already a human person, however early his age and primitive his appearance is. "Person is simply a specific name for a human being following from the form of a human being." This person,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See the research of websites o 17 tem cell researches Denis Gallino, "Le staminali <nella rete>: indagine sui più significativi siti internet," in *Staminali: possibilità terapeutiche rapporti tra scienza ed etica*, ed. Aldo Mazzoni (Bologna: Edizioni Studio Domenicano), 149-79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Tadeusz Pacholczyk, *The Ten Great Myths in the Debate over Stem Cell Research*, sourced from the internet: <a href="http://www.ncbcenter.org/10Myths.pdf">http://www.ncbcenter.org/10Myths.pdf</a>, access date November 27, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Nicanor Pier Giorgio Austriaco, "On Static Eggs and Dynamic Embryos: A Systems Perspective," NCBQ 2, no. 4 (Winter 2002): 666.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Giorgio Maria Carbone, *L'embrione umano: qualcosa o qualcuno?* (Bologna: Edizioni Studio Domenicano, 2005), 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Austriaco, "On Static Egg," 666.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Kevin D. O'Rourke, "Embryo as Person," NCBQ 6, no. 2 (Summer 2006): 243.

although is dependent on his environment, primarily his mother's uterus, is capable of independent and self-sustaining existence. That there are changes in his appearance is an absolutely normal process of development. Therefore, this person does not have to be able to exercise all of his rational powers, since they are still developing. All these arguments focus on the idea of the personhood *ab initio*. From this, we could build our ethical argument, "Since the development of the individual is a smooth biological process, without radical discontinuity and clear continuity of identity, there is no persuasive biological reason which would deny the possession of the basic human rights to the developing individual at *any* stage of the process."<sup>20</sup>

One, however, may insistently ask, "How could we are so certain that the early human embryo is already a person?" The biological facts presented above may answer this question, but even if a doubt existed concerning whether the fruit of conception is already a puman person, it is objectively morally bad to dare to risk murder by jeopardizing his life. From the standpoint of moral obligation, the mere probability that a human person is involved would suffice to justify an absolutely clear prohibition of any intervention aimed at destroying (killing) a human embryo.

#### Utilitarian Manner

Some still pursue the moral justifiability of HES cells research, even though the early human embryo is a person. They argue that the moral imperative of compagision compels HES cell research, since the central moral issues in the research have to do with the criteria for moral sacrifices of human life. In other words, why shouldn't we make the embryos into 'martyrs,' and make their inevitable death a medical benefit to others?<sup>21</sup>

The use of HES cells is a utilitarian<sup>22</sup> use of the early embryos to create regenerative medicines. In this research, the immoral acts involved are killing by destroying and using embryos as if they are materials. The ethical principal that could be applied here is that the good purpose does not justify the unethical means. The human embryos are human individuals that cannot be used as a means to cure other people. To use human embryos or fetuses as the object or instrument of experimentation constitutes a crime against their dignity as human beings having a right to the same respect that is due to the child already born and to every human person.

The question above also considers the embryo as a living donor. The ethics of donating organs, then, should be applied here. First, donor is voluntary, it is never obligatory. We could never presume that the embryo consents to donate his inner cell mass, which will destroy his life. If we eventually make the embryo into martyr, it means that we presume or even force him to donate essential parts of his body. Making martyrs of embryos would be a false compassion. Second, we need to distinguish between anatomical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Cf. Benedict M. Ashley and Albert S. Moraczewski, "Is the Biological Subject of Human Rights Present from Conception?" in *The Fetal Tissue Issue: Medical and Ethical Aspects*, eds. Peter J. Cataldo and Albert S. Moraczewski (Braintree, MA: The Pope John XXIII Medical-Ethics Research and Education Center, 1994), 54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Cf. Eric Cohen, "Of Embryos and Empire," *The New Atlantis: A Journal of Technology and Society*, no. 2 (Summer, 2003): 3. See also, Glenn McGee and Arthur L. Caplan, "The Ethics and Politics of Small Sacrifices in Stem Cell Research," in *Contemporary Issues in Bioethics*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Tom L. Beauchamp and LeRoy Walters, eds. (Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth, 2003), 646-416

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Utilitarianism focuses its ethical maxim in *doing the greatest good for the greatest number of people.* In this principle, the greatest good for more people is more important than the good of some people. The greater good for the greater number of persons trumps the individual pleasure.

and functional integrity in donating organs.<sup>23</sup> It is never allowed that we sacrifice functional integrity of the donor. HES cells derivations always entail the destruction of embryos. This would also be destruction of the functional integrity of the donor, in this case, the early human embryo.

The use of HES cells from SNCT method (therapeutic cloning) is more ethically serious. It involves creating, destroying, and using the human embryos. It is an absolute example of the utilitarian mentality towards the embryonic humans, thus it is unacceptable. To create embryos with the intention of destroying them, even with the intention of helping the sick, is completely incompatible with human dignity, because it makes the existence of a human being at the embryonic stage nothing more than a means to be used and destroyed. Is it ethically imaginable to sacrifice an innocent nascent human life for therapeutic ends of another human?<sup>24</sup>

It would change, then, our nature as *homo homini socius* to *homo homini lupus*. Men do not become fellows anymore to other men, but they become the devourers of their fellows. No radical equality is shown here, thus no respect and protection of the weak ones. "It is not easy to take seriously the language of respect when we bring an embryo into existence for the sole purpose of using and destroying it in research... In these circumstances it would be far more honest simply to drop the language of respect entirely."<sup>25</sup>

In closing, a society that refuses to take care of the weakest members is actually a weak society. "No community, whether family, village or states really strong if it will not carry its weak and even its very weakest members." Are not the human embryos the weakest members of our society?

Benny Phang, O.Carm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> "Anatomical integrity refers to the material or physical integrity of the human body. Functional integrity refers to the systematic efficiency of the human body." See Benedict M. Ashley, Jean Deblois, and Kevin D. O'Rourke, Health Care Ethics: A Catholic Theological Analysis, 5th ed., (Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press, 2006), 104-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Cf. Angelo Serra, "Identità e dignità dell'embrione umano," in *Staminali: possibilità terapeutiche rapporti tra scienza ed etica*, ed. Aldo Mazzoni (Bologna: Edizioni Studio Domenicano, 2007), 48-52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Gilbert Meilaender, *Bioethics: A Primer for Christians*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2005), 113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Gilbert Meilaender, "Some Protestant Reflections," in *The Human Embryonic Stem Cells Debate: Science, Ethics, and Public Policy,* eds. Suzanne Holland, Karen Lebacqz, and Laurie Zoloth, (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2002), 142.

### Should We Use Human Embryonic Stem Cells Sept2013

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT	<u> </u>		·
	0% ARITY INDEX	19% INTERNET SOURCES	9% PUBLICATIONS	14% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	Ird.yaho	oapis.com		2%
2	Idysinge Internet Source	r.stjohnsem.ed	u	2%
3	Submitte Student Paper	ed to Bishop Lu	ers High Schoo	2%
4	ratadiajo Internet Source	o.wordpress.cor	n	1 %
5	www.uff			1 %
6	dlib.bc.e			1 %
7		ed to Massachu cy & Allied Heal	_	f 1%
8	cdn.theo	ologicalstudies.r	net	1 %
9	www.pri	estsforlife.org		1 %

10	Submitted to Clairvaux Mackillop College Student Paper	1 %
11	vdocuments.site Internet Source	1 %
12	Submitted to Western Governors University Student Paper	1 %
13	documents.mx Internet Source	1 %
14	www.thenewatlantis.com Internet Source	1 %
15	Submitted to University of Westminster Student Paper	1 %
16	Submitted to University of Nottingham Student Paper	<1%
17	www.studia.ubbcluj.ro Internet Source	<1%
18	scholar.valpo.edu Internet Source	<1%
19	www.catholiceducation.org Internet Source	<1 %
20	docplayer.net Internet Source	<1%
21	Charles C. Hinkley II. "Moral Conflicts of Organ Retrieval: A Case for Constructive	<1%

## Pluralism", Brill, 2020

Publication

22	cdn.preterhuman.net Internet Source	<1%
23	epublications.marquette.edu Internet Source	<1%
24	www.spuc.org.uk Internet Source	<1%
25	www.thetablet.co.uk Internet Source	<1%
26	baptistbulletin.org Internet Source	<1%
27	en.wikibooks.org Internet Source	<1 %
28	Submitted to Reformed Bible College Student Paper	<1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 5 words